

## On the rotation groups and the restricted Lorentz group

Consider the  $n$ -dimensional rotations group

$$SO(n) = \{R \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R}) \mid RR^T = \mathbf{I}, \det R = 1\}.$$

On the rotations group we take the topology induced from the square real matrices space such that a fundamental system of neighbourhoods of  $R_0 \in SO(n)$  is  $(V_\varepsilon(R_0))_{\varepsilon > 0}$  with

$$V_\varepsilon(R_0) = \{R \in SO(n) \mid |R_{ij} - R_{0ij}| < \varepsilon \text{ for } i, j = \overline{1, n}\}$$

For any  $R = (Q_{ij})_{i,j} \in SO(n+1)$  if  $Q_{k1} \neq 0$  we consider

$$e_1^k = (Q_{i1})_{i=\overline{1, n+1}}, e_j^k = (\delta_{j-1i})_{i=\overline{1, n+1}} \text{ for } j = \overline{2, k}, e_j^k = (\delta_{ji})_{i=\overline{1, n+1}} \text{ for } j = \overline{k+1, n+1} \text{ and } f_1^k = e_1^k$$

$$f_{p+1}^k = \text{vers}(e_{p+1}^k - \sum_{j=1}^p \langle f_j^k, e_{p+1}^k \rangle f_j^k) \text{ for } p = \overline{1, n-1}$$

$$f_{n+1}^k = \text{sign}(Q_{k1}) \text{vers}(e_{n+1}^k - \sum_{j=1}^n \langle f_j^k, e_{n+1}^k \rangle f_j^k)$$

Then if  $Q_{k1} \neq 0$  for  $Q^{(k)} = (f_{ij}^k)_{i,j=\overline{1, n+1}}$  we have  $Q^{(k)} \in SO(n+1)$  and

$$Q^{(k)} R = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0_{1 \times n} \\ 0_{n \times 1} & R^{(k)} \end{pmatrix} = M^{(k)} \text{ with}$$

$$R^{(k)} \in SO(n)$$

where  $\langle \dots \rangle$  denotes the euclidean scalar product and  $\delta$  the Kronecker symbol

We suppose as a induction hypothesis that we have a  $C^\infty$  class mapping with

$$W \ni (\psi_j)_{j=\overline{1, n(n-1)/2}} \rightarrow \bar{R}((\psi_j)_j) \in SO(n)$$

$$W \text{ an open set of } \mathbb{R}^{n(n-1)/2} \text{ and } \text{rank} \left( \frac{\partial \bar{R}_{pq}}{\partial \psi_j} \right)_{pq,j} = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}, p, q = \overline{1, n}, j = \overline{1, n(n-1)/2}$$

We take  $(\psi_s)_{s=\overline{n(n-1)/2+1, n(n+1)/2}} \rightarrow (Q_{s1})_{s=\overline{1, n+1}} \in S_n$  where  $S_n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid \|x\| = 1\}$  is the  $n+1$ -dimensional sphere, a mapping of  $S_n$ .

$$\text{We have } Q^{(k)T} = \begin{pmatrix} Q_{11} & A \\ B & C \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } A \in M_{1 \times n}(\mathbb{R}), B \in M_{n \times 1}(\mathbb{R}), C \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R}).$$

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} Q_{21} \\ \vdots \\ Q_{n+11} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} A \\ C \end{pmatrix} \text{ has an inverse } S \in M_{(n+1) \times n}(\mathbb{R}) : S \begin{pmatrix} A \\ C \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{I}_n$$

It follows

$$Q^{(k)T} M^{(k)} = \begin{pmatrix} Q_{11} & AR^{(k)} \\ B & CR^{(k)} \end{pmatrix} \quad (1) \text{ and we can consider now a mapping } \bar{R}^{(k)} \text{ such that}$$

$$\bar{R}^{(k)}((\psi_s)_{s=\overline{1, n(n+1)/2}}) = Q^{(k)T} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0_{1 \times n} \\ 0_{n \times 1} & \bar{R} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$Q^{(k)} = Q^{(k)}((\psi_s)_{s=\overline{n(n-1)/2+1, n(n+1)/2}})$$

$$\bar{R} = \bar{R}((\psi_j)_{j=\overline{1, n(n-1)/2}})$$

$$\text{Since } \text{rank} \left( \frac{\partial Q_{i1}}{\partial \psi_s} \right)_{i,s} = n \text{ with } i = \overline{1, n+1}, s = \overline{n(n-1)/2+1, n(n+1)/2}$$

and the mapping  $\bar{R}$  has rank  $n(n-1)/2$  and we have the inverse  $S$  for

(A) it follows that if  $\sum_{j=1}^{n(n+1)/2} \alpha_j \frac{\partial(Q^{(k)} M^{(k)})}{\partial \psi_j} = 0$  with  $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $j = \overline{1, n(n+1)/2}$

then  $\alpha_j = 0$  for  $j = \overline{1, n(n+1)/2}$  and so  $\text{rank} \left( \frac{\partial R_{pq}^{(k)}}{\partial \psi_j} \right)_{pq,j} = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$

where  $p, q = \overline{1, n+1}$ ,  $j = \overline{1, n(n+1)/2}$

The application  $\Phi^{(k)} : S_n \times SO(n) \rightarrow SO(n+1)$

$\Phi^{(k)}((Q_{i1})_{i=\overline{1, n+1}}, R^{(k)}) = M^{(k)}$

is a local homeomorphism, defined in the neighbourhood of each

$((Q_{i1})_{i=\overline{1, n+1}}, R^{(k)})$  with  $Q_{k1} \neq 0$  and so by induction we can define a smooth class  $C^\infty$  manifold structure on  $SO(n+1)$  having dimension  $n(n+1)/2$  that generates the same topology on  $SO(n+1)$  as induced from  $M_{(n+1) \times (n+1)}(\mathbb{R})$ .

Suppose now the induction assumption that for any  $R \in SO(m)$ ,  $m \leq n$  exists

$W \in M_{m \times m}(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $W = -W^T$  and  $R = \exp(W)$

For  $Q \in SO(n+1)$  if  $Q$  invariates a subspace  $V$  of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  (i.e.  $Q(V) = V$ )

then  $Q$  invariates also  $V^\perp$

If  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $x \in M_{(n+1) \times 1}(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $x \neq 0$ ,  $Qx = \lambda x$  it follows  $\lambda \bar{\lambda} = 1$ , since  $QQ^T = \mathbf{I}$  ( $\bar{\lambda}$  the complex conjugate of  $\lambda$ )

If further  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$  taking  $u = \Re x$ ,  $v = \Im x$  we have

$\lambda = \cos(\theta) + i \sin(\theta)$ ,  $\sin(\theta) \neq 0$ ,  $v \neq 0$

$Qu = u \cos(\theta) - v \sin(\theta)$ ,  $Qv = u \sin(\theta) + v \cos(\theta)$  and since  $QQ^T = \mathbf{I}$  we will have

$$\|u\|^2 = \|u\|^2 \cos^2(\theta) + \|v\|^2 \sin^2(\theta) - 2\langle u, v \rangle \sin(\theta) \cos(\theta)$$

$$\|v\|^2 = \|u\|^2 \sin^2(\theta) + \|v\|^2 \cos^2(\theta) + 2\langle u, v \rangle \sin(\theta) \cos(\theta)$$

$$\langle u, v \rangle = (\|u\|^2 - \|v\|^2) \sin(\theta) \cos(\theta) + \langle u, v \rangle (\cos^2(\theta) - \sin^2(\theta))$$

$$(\|u\|^2 - \|v\|^2) (\cos(2\theta) - 1) - 2\langle u, v \rangle \sin(2\theta) = 0$$

$$(\|u\|^2 - \|v\|^2) \sin(2\theta) + 2\langle u, v \rangle (\cos(2\theta) - 1) = 0$$

Therefore, since  $\sin(\theta) \neq 0$  it follows  $\langle u, v \rangle = 0$ ,  $\|u\| = \|v\| \neq 0$

$Q$  invariates  $\text{Sp}(u, v)$  and  $\text{Sp}(u, v)^\perp$  and so we can find  $R \in SO(n+1)$  such that

$$RQR^T = \begin{pmatrix} B & 0_{2 \times (n-1)} \\ 0_{(n-1) \times 2} & Q_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $Q_0 = \exp(W_0) \in SO(n-1)$ ,  $W_0 = -W_0^T \in M_{(n-1) \times (n-1)}(\mathbb{R})$

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta) & -\sin(\theta) \\ \sin(\theta) & \cos(\theta) \end{pmatrix} = \exp(\theta A) \text{ where } A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Taking  $W = R^T \begin{pmatrix} \theta A & 0_{2 \times (n-1)} \\ 0_{(n-1) \times 2} & W_0 \end{pmatrix} R$  we obtain  $Q = \exp(W)$

If  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  we must have  $\lambda \in \{-1, 1\}$

Then if  $x \in M_{(n+1) \times 1}(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $Qx = -x$ ,  $x \neq 0$  since  $\det Q = 1$  there it exists another  $y \neq 0$ ,  $y \in \{x\}^\perp$  such that  $Qy = -y$  and we will have

$$R \in SO(n-1) \text{ with } RQR^T = \begin{pmatrix} \exp(\pi A) & 0_{2 \times (n-1)} \\ 0_{(n-1) \times 2} & Q_0 \end{pmatrix}, Q_0 = \exp(W_0), W_0 = -W_0^T$$

and we can take  $Q = \exp(W)$  with  $W = R^T \begin{pmatrix} \pi A & 0_{2 \times (n-1)} \\ 0_{(n-1) \times 2} & W_0 \end{pmatrix} R = -W^T \in M_{(n+1) \times (n+1)}(\mathbb{R})$

If  $x \in M_{(n+1) \times 1}(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $x \neq 0$ ,  $Qx = x$  we will have  $R \in SO(n+1)$  such that  
 $RQR^T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0_{1 \times n} \\ 0_{n \times 1} & Q_0 \end{pmatrix}$  where by induction assumption  $Q_0 = \exp(W_0)$ ,  $W_0 = -W_0^T$   
 Taking  $W = R^T \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0_{1 \times n} \\ 0_{n \times 1} & W_0 \end{pmatrix} R = -W^T \in M_{(n+1) \times (n+1)}(\mathbb{R})$  we will have  $Q = \exp(W)$

Therefore, by induction we have proved that for any

$Q \in SO(n)$  exists  $W \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $W = -W^T$  and  $Q = \exp(W)$

Also it is obvious that if  $W = -W^T$  then  $WW^T = W^TW$  and so for  $Q = \exp(W)$  :  
 $QQ^T = \exp(W+W^T) = \mathbf{I}$ ,  $Q \in O(n)$

Moreover we have  $W = JSJ^{-1}$  where  $S$  is the Jordan normal form of  $W$

$\det \exp(W) = \det \exp(S) = \prod_{i=1}^n \exp(\lambda_i)$ , where

$$\det(W - \lambda \mathbf{I}) = \prod_{i=1}^n (\lambda - \lambda_i)$$

For  $W = -W^T \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $Wx = \lambda x$ ,  $x \in M_{n \times 1}(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  we can take  $x \in M_{n \times 1}(\mathbb{R})$

and so  $x^T W x = x^T W^T x = -x^T W x = 0$ ,  $0 = x^T W x = \lambda \|x\|^2$  and

all real eigenvalues of  $W$  must vanish and since  $W$  is real we can split the eigenvalues as

$$E = \{i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \mid \lambda_i \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}\} = E_1 \cup E_2, E_1 \cap E_2 = \emptyset, \text{card } E_1 = \text{card } E_2$$

$$E_1 = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}, E_2 = \{j_1, \dots, j_k\}, \lambda_{i_s} = \overline{\lambda_{j_s}} \text{ for } s = \overline{1, k}$$

Therefore it follows  $\prod_{i=1}^n \exp(\lambda_i) > 0$ ,  $Q = \exp(W) \in SO(n)$ .

We will prove now that the function

$\Phi : M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$  with  $\Phi(W) = \exp(W)$  for any  $W \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$  satisfies

$$\det \left( \frac{\partial \Phi_{pq}}{\partial t_{ij}} \right)_{pq, ij} \neq 0 \text{ for any } W = (t_{ij})_{i, j} \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R}) \text{ where } i, j, p, q = \overline{1, n} \quad (2)$$

$$\Phi = (\Phi_{pq})_{p, q} = (e_{pq}^W)_{p, q}$$

(2) is equivalent to the fact that

for any  $W$ ,  $\beta \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$  the relation  $\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial h} \exp(W + h\beta) \right|_{h=0} = 0$  implies  $\beta = 0$ .

Suppose we have  $W, \beta \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial h} \exp(W + h\beta) \right|_{h=0} = 0$

Since for any  $J \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$  with  $\det J \neq 0$  we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial h} \exp(JWJ^{-1} + hJ\beta J^{-1}) = J \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial h} \exp(W + h\beta) \right) J^{-1} \text{ it is sufficient to prove (2) only for } W$$

having the upper triangular normal Jordan form

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} C_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & C_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & C_r \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } C_i \text{ cells of the form } C_i = \lambda_i \mathbf{I}_{s_i} + N_{s_i}, \lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}, s_i \in \mathbb{N}^*$$

$$N_{s_i} = (n_{kl})_{k, l = \overline{1, s_i}}, n_{kl} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } l = k+1, 1 \leq k \leq s_i - 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \text{ for } k, l = \overline{1, s_i}$$

We denote  $W_{ii} = \mu_i$  for  $i = \overline{1, n}$

Let  $W$  having the Jordan normal form and we have :

$$\frac{\partial e^W}{\partial t_{ij}} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} W^{m-1-k} E_{ij} W^k \quad \text{where } E_{ij} = (\delta_{ik} \delta_{jl})_{k,l=1,\overline{n}} \quad (3)$$

For any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $W^k$  is upper triangular and has diagonal coefficients  $(W^k)_{ij} = \mu_i^k$  and therefore calculating the terms  $W^{m-1-k} E_{ij} W^k$  it follows that

$$\frac{\partial e_{pq}^W}{\partial t_{ij}} = 0 \quad \text{if } q < j \text{ or } p > i.$$

We consider for  $pq$  and  $ij$  pass the ordering  $(1n)(2n)\dots((n-1)n)(nn)(1(n-1))\dots(n(n-1))(1(n-2))\dots\dots(11)((21)\dots((n-1)1)(n1)$  we find that the matrix

$\left( \frac{\partial e_{pq}^W}{\partial t_{ij}} \right)_{pq,ij}$  has an upper triangular form and so

$$\det \left( \frac{\partial e_{pq}^W}{\partial t_{ij}} \right)_{pq,ij} = \prod_{p,q=1}^n \frac{\partial e_{pq}^W}{\partial t_{pq}}$$

Calculation from (3), with  $W$  having the Jordan normal form leads to

$$\frac{\partial e_{pq}^W}{\partial t_{pq}} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \mu_p^{m-1-k} \mu_q^k = \begin{cases} \frac{\exp(\mu_p) - \exp(\mu_q)}{\mu_p - \mu_q} & \text{if } \mu_p \neq \mu_q \\ \frac{\exp(\mu_p) - 1}{\mu_p} & \text{if } \mu_p = \mu_q \end{cases}$$

$$\text{and so } \det \left( \frac{\partial e_{pq}^W}{\partial t_{ij}} \right)_{pq,ij} \neq 0 \quad \text{for any } W \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R}) \quad (3')$$

Let  $(J_s)_{s=1, \overline{n(n-1)/2}}$  a system of linear independent generators for the antisymmetric real matrices so that we have

$$W = -W^T \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R}) \quad W = \psi_s J_s, \quad \psi_s \in \mathbb{R} \quad (\text{with Einstein summation convention for indexes } s=1, \overline{n(n-1)/2})$$

Because we have (3'), it follows that for

$$R_0 \in SO(n) \text{ and } \psi^0 = (\psi_s^0)_s \text{ such that } \exp(\psi_s^0 J_s) = R_0 \text{ we have an open neighbourhood } U_0 \text{ of } \psi^0, \text{ an open neighbourhood } G_0 \text{ of } R_0 \text{ and the injective function } \Phi: U_0 \rightarrow G_0, \Phi(\psi) = \exp(\psi_s J_s), \psi = (\psi_s)_s$$

As we proved, we can choose  $G_0$  such that we have a mapping

$$\bar{R}: V_0 \rightarrow G_0 \text{ of } SO(n) \text{ from some open neighbourhood } V_0 \text{ of } \varphi^0 \text{ such that } \varphi^0 = (\varphi_s^0)_s \text{ and}$$

$$\bar{R}(\varphi^0) = R_0, \quad \text{rank} \left( \frac{\partial \bar{R}_{pq}}{\partial \varphi_s} \right)_{pq,s} = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \quad (4)$$

Thus we have  $\bar{R}(\varphi^0) = \exp(\psi_s^0 J_s)$  and for  $\varphi = \bar{R}^{-1} \circ \Phi(\psi)$  we have  $\bar{R}(\varphi) = \exp(\psi_s J_s)$  for any  $\psi \in U_0$  and the function  $\bar{R}^{-1} \circ \Phi: U_0 \rightarrow V_0$  is continuous and injective .

Therefore, since  $U_0$  is open and  $U_0, V_0$  have the same dimension it follows that

$$\bar{R}^{-1} \circ \Phi(U_0) = W_0 \text{ is an open set and } \varphi^0 \in W_0 \text{ and we have a homeomorphism}$$

$$\bar{R}^{-1} \circ \Phi: U_0 \rightarrow W_0$$

Since (4), by the implicit function theorem we will have a  $C^1$  class function

$$h: U_1 \rightarrow V_1 \text{ with } U_1 \text{ open neighbourhood of } \psi^0, V_1 \text{ open neighbourhood of } \varphi^0 \text{ such that } \bar{R}(h(\psi)) = \exp(\psi_s J_s) \text{ for any } \psi \in U_1$$

and for any  $(\varphi, \psi) \in V_1 \times U_1: \bar{R}(\varphi) = \exp(\psi_s J_s)$  if and only if  $\varphi = h(\psi)$

Since (3') we have that

$\text{rank} \left( \frac{\partial \exp(\psi_s J_s)_{pq}}{\partial \psi_k} \right)_{pq,k} = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$  and we will have also a  $C^1$  class function

$g: V_2 \rightarrow U_2$  with  $U_2$  open neighbourhood of  $\psi^0$ ,  $V_2$  open neighbourhood of  $\varphi^0$  such that  $\bar{R}(\varphi) = \exp(g_s(\varphi) J_s)$  for any  $\varphi \in V_2$

and for any  $(\varphi, \psi) \in V_2 \times U_2$ :  $\bar{R}(\varphi) = \exp(\psi_s J_s)$  if and only if  $\psi = g(\varphi)$ .

It follows  $(g \circ h)(\psi) = \varphi$ ,  $(h \circ g)(\varphi) = \psi$  for any  $(\varphi, \psi) \in V_1 \cap V_2 \times U_1 \cap U_2$

Therefore we can find  $U, V$  open neighbourhoods of  $\psi^0$  respective  $\varphi^0$  such that

$h(U) = V$ ,  $g(V) = U$ ,  $h|_U = g^{-1}|_U$ ,  $\bar{R}(h(\psi)) = \exp(\psi_s J_s)$ ,  $\bar{R}(\varphi) = \exp(g_s(\varphi) J_s)$

for any  $(\varphi, \psi) \in V \times U$ .

Intermediating through the  $\bar{R}$  mappings of the manifold structure  $SO(n)$  we obtain that

for any  $\psi^0, \psi^1$  with  $\exp(\psi_s^0 J_s) = \exp(\psi_s^1 J_s)$  there exist

$W_0$  an open neighbourhood of  $\psi^0$  and  $W_1$  an open neighbourhood of  $\psi^1$  and a  $C^\infty$  class function  $f: W_0 \rightarrow W_1$  such that for any  $(\psi, \psi') \in W_0 \times W_1$ :

$\exp(\psi_s J_s) = \exp(\psi'_s J_s)$  if and only if  $\psi' = f(\psi)$  and so we have the same manifold structure on  $SO(n)$  with topology induced from  $M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$  given by the mappings

$(\psi_s)_{s=1, n(n-1)/2} \rightarrow \exp(\psi_s J_s)$  having the continuous surjective function

$\Phi: \mathbb{R}^{n(n-1)/2} \rightarrow SO(n)$  with  $\Phi(\psi) = \exp(\psi_s J_s)$  and so we find  $SO(n)$  as a  $n(n-1)/2$ -dimensional connected Lie group.

Consider now the Minkowski space

$\mathbb{R}^4$  identified with  $M_{4 \times 1}(\mathbb{R})$  having the pseudometric  $(\eta_{\alpha\beta})_{\alpha,\beta}$  with

$\eta_{\alpha\beta} = 0$  if  $\alpha \neq \beta$ ,  $\eta_{ii} = -1$  for  $i = \overline{1, 3}$ ,  $\eta_{00} = 1$

(we use greek characters for indexing from 0 to 3 and latin characters for indexing from 1 to 3)

We have the pseudo-scalar product  $\mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{R}^4 \ni (x, y) \rightarrow x \cdot y = y^T \eta x \in \mathbb{R}$

$x, y$  as column vectors  $x = x^\alpha E_\alpha$ ,  $(E_\alpha)_\alpha$  Minkowski base with

$E_\alpha = (\delta_{\alpha\beta})_\beta$  (as column vector),  $E_\alpha \cdot E_\beta = \eta_{\alpha\beta}$

We remind that, as a consequence of the Cauchy-Bunyakowsky-Schwarz inequality, we have:

i) if  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^4$  and  $x \neq 0$ ,  $x^T \eta x \geq 0$ ,  $y^T \eta x = 0$  then  $y^T \eta y \leq 0$

ii) if  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^4$  and  $x \neq 0$ ,  $x^T \eta x = 0$ ,  $y^T \eta x = 0$  then exists  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $y = \lambda x$ .

For  $M \in SO^+(3, 1)$  (see Chap. Representations of the rotations group and of the restricted

Lorentz group, Spin representations) we have :

$M = R(\theta, n) B(\chi, q) = M(\vec{\theta}, \vec{\chi})$  where  $\vec{\theta} = \theta n$ ,  $\vec{\chi} = \chi q$ ,  $\vec{\theta} = (\theta)_i$ ,  $\vec{\chi} = (\chi)_i$

$R(\theta, n) = (R_{\alpha\beta})_{\alpha,\beta}$ ,  $B(\chi, q) = (B_{\alpha\beta})_{\alpha,\beta}$ ,

$R_{ij} = -\epsilon_{ijk} n_k \sin(\theta) + (\delta_{ij} - n_i n_j) \cos(\theta) + n_i n_j$ ,  $R_{i0} = R_{0i} = 0$ ,  $R_{00} = 1$

$B_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + (\cosh(\chi) - 1) q_i q_j$ ,  $B_{0i} = B_{i0} = -q_i \sinh(\chi)$ ,  $B_{00} = \cosh(\chi)$

$B$  is symmetric positive definite and so  $M = RB$  must be the polar decomposition of  $M$ ,

$B = \sqrt{M^T M}$ ,  $R = M(\sqrt{M^T M})^{-1}$  and we can find  $k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  such that :

$n = \text{vers}(\epsilon_{ijk} (R_i - \delta_i) \times (R_j - \delta_j))$  with  $R_i = (R_{il})_l$ ,  $\delta_i = (\delta_{il})_l$

$\sin(\theta) = -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijl} n_l R_{ij}$ ,  $\cos(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} (R_{ii} - 1)$

$\cosh(\chi) = B_{00}$ ,  $\sinh(\chi) = \sqrt{B_{00}^2 - 1}$ ,  $q_i = -\frac{B_{i0}}{\sqrt{B_{00}^2 - 1}}$

Therefore we have a local homeomorphism :

$\mathbb{R}^6 \ni (\vec{\theta}, \vec{\chi}) \rightarrow M(\vec{\theta}, \vec{\chi}) \in SO^+(3, 1)$  when  $SO^+(3, 1)$  is considered with the topology which is induced from  $M_{4 \times 4}(\mathbb{R})$  and a 6-dimensional connected Lie group structure on  $SO^+(3, 1)$  given by the mappings  $(\vec{\theta}, \vec{\chi}) \rightarrow M(\vec{\theta}, \vec{\chi})$  .

Suppose now we have

$$(\alpha_k)_k, (\beta_k)_k \in \mathbb{R}^3 \text{ such that } \alpha_k \frac{\partial M}{\partial \theta_k} + \beta_k \frac{\partial M}{\partial \chi_k} = 0 \text{ for a value of } (\vec{\theta}, \vec{\chi})$$

It follows :

$$0 = \beta_k \frac{\partial B_{0i}}{\partial \chi_k} = \beta_k \left( -\frac{\delta_{ik}}{\chi} + \frac{1}{\chi} q_i q_k \right) \sinh(\chi) - \beta_k q_k q_i \cosh(\chi)$$

$$0 = \beta_k \frac{\partial B_{00}}{\partial \chi_k} = \beta_k q_k \sinh(\chi) \text{ and so we obtain } \beta_i = 0 \text{ for } i = \overline{1, 3}$$

$$\alpha_k \frac{\partial R}{\partial \theta_k} = 0 \text{ with } (R_{ij})_{i,j} = \exp(\theta_k J_k), (J_k)_{ij} = -\epsilon_{ijk}, \text{ for } i, j, k = \overline{1, 3} .$$

Since  $\det \left( \frac{\partial e_{pq}^W}{\partial t_{ij}} \right)_{pq, ij} \neq 0$  for any  $W = (t_{ij})_{i,j} \in M_{3 \times 3}(\mathbb{R})$  as we have proven, it follows :

$$\text{rank} \left( \frac{\partial \exp(\theta_k J_k)_{ij}}{\partial \theta_l} \right)_{ij, l} = 3 \text{ and so we must have also } \alpha_k = 0 \text{ for } k = \overline{1, 3} .$$

Therefore taking  $(\psi_l)_{l=\overline{1,6}} = (\vec{\theta}, \vec{\chi})$  we have  $\text{rank} \left( \frac{\partial M_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial \psi_l} \right)_{\alpha\beta, l} = 6$

We remind that we rise or lower the indexes according to

$$V_\alpha = \eta_{\alpha\beta} V^\beta, V^\alpha = \eta^{\alpha\beta} V_\beta, (\eta_{\alpha\beta}) = (\eta^{\alpha\beta})$$

Let  $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$  be the signature of the permutation  $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta & \gamma & \delta \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$  and we define

$$\bar{J}_{\gamma\delta}^{\alpha\beta} = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \eta_{\epsilon\delta} . \text{ We will have:}$$

$$\bar{J}^{0i} = -\bar{J}^{i0} = -J_i, \bar{J}^{ij} = \epsilon_{ijk} K_k \text{ where } J_i, K_k \text{ are the Lorentz group generators}$$

$$(J_k)_{ij} = -\epsilon_{ijk}, (J_k)_{i0} = (J_k)_{0i} = (J_k)_{00} = 0, (K_k)_{ij} = 0, (K_k)_{i0} = (K_k)_{0i} = \delta_{i0}, (K_k)_{00} = 0$$

$$R(\theta, n) = \exp(\theta n_k J_k), B(\chi, q) = \exp(-\chi q_k K_k) .$$

We define also

$$J_{\gamma\delta}^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \bar{J}_{\psi\varphi}^{\psi\varphi} = -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\psi\varphi} \epsilon_{\psi\varphi\delta\rho} \eta^{\gamma\rho} \text{ obtaining}$$

$$J^{ij} = -\epsilon_{ijk} J_k, J^{0i} = -J^{i0} = -K_i .$$

For a Lorentz coordinates transformation  $x'^\mu = \Lambda^\mu_\nu x^\nu$ ,  $(\Lambda^\mu_\nu)_{\mu, \nu} = \Lambda \in SO^+(3, 1)$

we denote  $(\Lambda_\mu^\nu)_{\nu, \mu} = \Lambda^{-1}$ .

The relation  $\Lambda^\mu_\alpha \Lambda^\nu_\beta \bar{J}^{\alpha\beta} = \Lambda^{-1} \bar{J}^{\mu\nu} \Lambda$  (5) is equivalent to

$$\Lambda^\epsilon_\gamma \Lambda^\mu_\alpha \Lambda^\nu_\beta \Lambda^\delta_\rho \bar{J}^{\alpha\beta} = \bar{J}^{\mu\nu} .$$

Since  $\Lambda \in SO^+(3, 1)$  we have  $\Lambda_\rho^\delta = \Lambda^\varphi_\psi \eta_{\psi\delta} \eta_{\rho\varphi}$  and so (5) is equivalent to

$$\Lambda^\mu_\alpha \Lambda^\nu_\beta \Lambda^\epsilon_\gamma \Lambda^\kappa_\psi \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\psi} = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\epsilon\kappa} \text{ which is true since } \det \Lambda = 1$$

Also, since  $\Lambda \in SO^+(3, 1)$  we have

$$\epsilon^{\gamma\epsilon} \Lambda^\mu_\nu \Lambda^\alpha_\beta = \eta^{\kappa\gamma} \eta^{\rho\epsilon} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\psi\varphi} \Lambda^\psi_\kappa \Lambda^\varphi_\rho = \epsilon^{\kappa\rho} \Lambda^\gamma_\kappa \Lambda^\epsilon_\rho .$$

Therefore, from (5) follows :

$$\Lambda^\nu_\alpha \Lambda^\nu_\beta \bar{J}^{\alpha\beta} = \Lambda^{-1} \bar{J}^{\mu\nu} \Lambda \quad (6)$$

We will prove further that if  $M \in SO^+(3,1)$  then exists  $\Lambda \in SO^+(3,1)$  such that  $M = \Lambda^{-1} \exp(\theta J_3 + \chi K_3) \Lambda$  or  $M = \Lambda^{-1} \exp(\alpha(J_1 + K_2)) \Lambda$  for some  $\theta, \chi, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ .

If exists  $\mu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$  such that we have  $x \in \mathbb{C}^4$  ( $x$  as a column vector)  $x \neq 0$  with  $Mx = \mu x$ , then if  $x^T \eta x \neq 0$  it follows, since  $M^T \eta M = \eta$  that  $\mu^2 = 1$  and because  $\mu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ , we must have  $x^T \eta x = 0$  and so

$$\Re x^T \eta \Re x = \Im x^T \eta \Im x, \quad \Re x^T \eta \Im x = 0 \quad (7)$$

If also  $\Re x^T \eta \Re x = 0$ , since  $\Im x \neq 0$  (because  $\mu \notin \mathbb{R}$ ) we have  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $\Re x = \lambda \Im x$ . This leads to  $(i + \lambda)M \Im x = (i + \lambda)\mu \Im x$  which again contradicts  $\mu \notin \mathbb{R}$ .

Therefore we have  $u = \Re x$ ,  $v = \Im x$ ,  $x = u + iv$

$$u^T \eta u = v^T \eta v \neq 0, \quad u^T \eta v = 0 \quad (8)$$

$$\bar{x}^T \eta x = u^T \eta u + v^T \eta v \neq 0 \quad (9) \text{ which from } Mx = \mu x \text{ leads to } \mu \bar{\mu} = 1 \text{ and } \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \text{ with}$$

$$Mu = u \cos(\alpha) - v \sin(\alpha)$$

$$Mv = u \sin(\alpha) + v \cos(\alpha)$$

As a consequence of Cauchy-Bunyakowsky-Schwarz inequality, from (8) we obtain

$$u^T \eta u = v^T \eta v < 0 \text{ and we can therefore consider } u^T \eta u = v^T \eta v = -1.$$

$M$  invariates  $V = \text{Sp}(u, v)$  and for  $V^\perp = \{w \in M_{4 \times 1}(\mathbb{R}) \mid w^T \eta z = 0 \text{ for any } z \in V\}$ ,

$M$  invariates also  $V^\perp$ .

We can take  $\Lambda \in SO^+(3,1)$  such that  $\Lambda^{-1} E_1 = u$  and  $\Lambda^{-1} E_2 = v$ .

For  $\bar{M} = \Lambda M \Lambda^{-1}$  we will have:

$$\bar{M} E_1 = E_1 \cos(\alpha) - E_2 \sin(\alpha), \quad \bar{M} E_2 = E_1 \sin(\alpha) + E_2 \cos(\alpha) \text{ and that}$$

$$\bar{M} \text{ invariates } \text{Sp}(E_1, E_2) \text{ and } \text{Sp}(E_3, E_0) = \text{Sp}(E_1, E_2)^\perp.$$

Hence exist  $\theta, \chi \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\bar{M} = \exp(\theta J_3) \exp(\chi K_3) = \exp(\theta J_3 + \chi K_3)$

$$M = \Lambda^{-1} \exp(\theta J_3 + \chi K_3) \Lambda$$

Therefore, to prove the statement we can further suppose that

if  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $x \in M_{4 \times 1}(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $x \neq 0$ ,  $Mx = \mu x$  then  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^*$  and  $x \in M_{4 \times 1}(\mathbb{R})$

Let  $x \in M_{4 \times 1}(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*$ ,  $x \neq 0$ ,  $Mx = \lambda x$

If  $x^T \eta x = 0$  we can choose  $x \in M_{4 \times 1}(\mathbb{R})$  and take  $\Lambda \in SO^+(3,1)$  such that

$\Lambda x = E$  where  $E = E_3 + E_0$ . Then for  $\bar{M} = \Lambda M \Lambda^{-1}$ ,  $\bar{M}$  invariates

$\{E\}^\perp = \text{Sp}(E_1, E_2, E) = V$  and we will have:

$$\bar{M} E_1 = \alpha E_1 + \beta E_2 + \gamma E$$

$$\bar{M} E_2 = \alpha' E_1 + \beta' E_2 + \gamma' E \quad (10)$$

$$\bar{M} E = \lambda E$$

Since  $\bar{M} \in SO^+(3,1)$  we obtain:

$$\alpha^2 + \beta^2 = 1, \quad \alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 = 1, \quad \alpha \alpha' + \beta \beta' = 0$$

$$\alpha = \cos(\theta), \quad \beta = \sin(\theta), \quad \alpha' = \cos(\theta'), \quad \beta' = \sin(\theta'), \quad \theta - \theta' = \frac{2k+1}{2} \pi, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

$$\text{Let } S = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \alpha' & 0 \\ \beta & \beta' & 0 \\ \gamma & \gamma' & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

After some calculus we find that solutions for the characteristic equation in  $\mu$  are:

$$\mu = \lambda \text{ and } \mu = \frac{1}{2} (1 + (-1)^{k+1} \pm \sqrt{(1 + (-1)^{k+1}) \cos^2(\theta) + 4(-1)^k})$$

If  $k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$  and  $\cos^2(\theta) \neq 1$ ,  $S$  and therefore also  $M$  has an eigenvalue which is not real and so we can consider that  $k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$  if  $\cos^2(\theta) \neq 1$ .

If  $k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$  or  $\cos^2(\theta) \neq 1$ ,  $\bar{M}$  must have an eigenvalue  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^*$ ,  $\mu \neq \lambda$ ,  $\mu^2 = 1$ .  
 $\bar{M}y = \mu y$ ,  $\bar{M}E = \lambda E$ ,  $y \in V = \{E\}^\perp = \text{Sp}(E_1, E_2, E)$ .

$\bar{M}$  invariants  $\text{Sp}(y, E)$  and  $\text{Sp}(y, E)^\perp = W$  having  $\dim W = 2$ .

For  $z \in W$  we have  $z^T \eta E = 0$  and as a consequence of the Cauchy-Bunyakowsky-Schwarz inequality, for any  $z \in W$  which is independent of  $E$  follows  $z^T \eta z < 0$ .

Let  $E' \in W$ ,  $E'^T \eta E' = -1$  and we have  $\beta''$ ,  $\alpha'' \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\beta''^2 = 1$  with

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{M}y &= \mu y \\ \bar{M}E' &= \beta'' E' + \alpha'' E \quad (10') \\ \bar{M}E &= \lambda E \end{aligned}$$

$$y^T \eta E' = 0, E'^T \eta E = 0, E^T \eta y = 0, y^T \eta y = -1, E'^T \eta E' = -1, E^T \eta E = 0 \quad (10'')$$

$\text{Sp}(y, E', E) = \text{Sp}(E_1, E_2, E)$  and from (10), (10') follows

$$\det(S - \rho \mathbf{I}) = \det(S' - \rho \mathbf{I}) \text{ for any } \rho \in \mathbb{C} \text{ where } S' = \begin{pmatrix} \mu & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta'' & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha'' & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \text{ and so we must have}$$

$$(\mu = 1 \text{ and } \beta'' = -1) \text{ or } (\mu = -1 \text{ and } \beta'' = 1).$$

Because  $\det M = 1$ , the characteristic equation in  $\rho$ ,  $\det(M - \rho \mathbf{I}) = 0$  must have another solution  $\rho = -\frac{1}{\lambda}$  and since  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  we have  $\lambda \neq -\frac{1}{\lambda}$  and  $z \in M_{4 \times 1}(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $z$  independent of

$$x \text{ such that } Mz = -\frac{1}{\lambda} z, Mx = \lambda x, z^T \eta x = -z^T \eta x = 0.$$

Hence because  $x^T \eta x = 0$ ,  $x$  cannot be independent of  $z$ , and so, when all eigenvalues of  $M$  are real, as we can consider, we must suppose that we are in case a) or case b) described below:

a) for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $x \in M_{4 \times 1}(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $x \neq 0$  with  $Mx = \lambda x$  we can consider that

$$\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*, x \in M_{4 \times 1}(\mathbb{R}), x \neq 0, Mx = \lambda x, x^T \eta x \neq 0, \lambda^2 = 1$$

(the last equality in case a) follows because  $M$  is a Lorentz transformation)

b) there exist an eigenvalue  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*$  such that the corresponding  $x$ ,  $\theta$ ,  $k$  which we have for  $\lambda$  satisfy  $x^T \eta x = 0$ ,  $\cos^2(\theta) = 1$ ,  $k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ .

In case a), taking  $x_0 \in M_{4 \times 1}(\mathbb{R})$  with  $x_0 \neq 0$ ,  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}^*$ ,  $Mx_0 = \lambda_0 x_0$  we have that

$M$  invariants  $\{x_0\}$  and  $\{x_0\}^\perp$  and we can take successively  $x_i \in M_{4 \times 1}(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $x_i \neq 0$ ,  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}^*$

such that after eventually a permutation of indexes we have:

$$Mx_\alpha = \lambda_\alpha x_\alpha, \lambda_\alpha^2 = 1, x_\alpha^T \eta x_\beta = \eta_{\alpha\beta} \text{ for } \alpha, \beta = \overline{0, 3}.$$

Then we can find  $\varepsilon_\alpha \in \{1, -1\}$ ,  $\Lambda \in SO^+(3, 1)$  with  $\Lambda^{-1} E_\alpha = \varepsilon_\alpha x_\alpha$ .

In the basis  $(E_1, E_2, E_3, E_0)$  the transformation  $\bar{M}' = \Lambda M \Lambda^{-1}$  has the diagonal form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & & \\ & \lambda_2 & & \\ & & \lambda_3 & \\ & & & \lambda_0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and since } \bar{M}' \in SO^+(3, 1) \text{ we have } \lambda_0 = 1.$$

If  $\lambda_3 = -1$  it follows  $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 = -1$  and we can take  $Q \in SO^+(3, 1)$  with  $Q^T = Q^{-1}$ ,

$Q \bar{M}' Q^T = \exp(\pi J_3 + 0 K_3)$ . If  $\lambda_3 = 1$  it follows  $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 = 1$  and also we can take  $Q \in SO^+(3, 1)$

with  $Q^T = Q^{-1}$ ,  $Q \bar{M}' Q^T \in \{\exp(\pi J_3 + 0 K_3), \exp(0 J_3 + 0 K_3)\}$

In case b) we must have  $\mu = \beta'' = \cos(\theta) \in \{\pm 1\}$  and the characteristic equation has another solution  $\rho = \frac{1}{\lambda}$ ,  $\det(M - \rho \mathbf{I}) = 0$ .



If  $\beta'' \neq \lambda$ , taking  $z = E' + \frac{\alpha''}{\beta'' - \lambda} E$  we obtain:

$$\bar{M}y = \mu y, \bar{M}z = \mu z, \bar{M}E = \lambda E,$$

$y^T \eta z = 0$ ,  $y^T \eta y = z^T \eta z = -1$ ,  $E \in \{y, z\}^\perp$  and we find  $\Lambda \in SO^+(3, 1)$  with  $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$ ,  $\rho \in \mathbb{R}^*$ ,  $\Lambda^{-1}E_1 = y$ ,  $\Lambda^{-1}E_2 = \varepsilon z$ ,  $\Lambda^{-1}E = \rho E$ .

For  $M' = \Lambda \bar{M} \Lambda^{-1}$  we obtain  $M'E_1 = \mu E_1$ ,  $M'E_2 = \mu E_2$ ,  $M'E = \lambda E$

Since  $M' \in SO^+(3, 1)$  it follows  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $M'$  invariants  $\text{Sp}(E_1, E_2) = H$  and  $\text{Sp}(E_3, E_0) = H^\perp$

We will have therefore :

$$M' = \exp(\theta J_3 + \chi K_3), \theta \in [0, \pi], \cosh(\chi) + \sinh(\chi) \in \left[ \lambda, \frac{1}{\lambda} \right]$$

If  $\lambda^2 \neq 1$  we have obviously  $\beta'' \neq \lambda$  and so we have now left the case

$\lambda^2 = 1$ ,  $\beta'' = \lambda$  having now the situation:

$\mu = \lambda = \beta'' = \cos(\theta) \in \{\pm 1\}$ ,  $k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ ,  $\sin(\theta) = \cos(\theta') = 0$ ,  $\sin(\theta') = \cos(\theta)$

$\bar{M}E_1 = \mu E_1 + yE$ ,  $\bar{M}E_2 = \mu E_2 + y'E$ ,  $\bar{M}E = \mu E$  and so in the basis  $(E_1, E_2, E_3, E_0)$ :

$$\bar{M} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu & 0 & \delta & -\delta \\ 0 & \mu & \varepsilon & -\varepsilon \\ \gamma & \gamma' & \rho & \mu - \rho \\ \gamma & \gamma' & \varphi & \mu - \varphi \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \bar{M} \in SO^+(3, 1) \text{ leading to:}$$

$$\gamma = -\delta, \gamma' = -\varepsilon, \mu(\rho - \varphi) = 1, \mu(\delta^2 + \varepsilon^2) = -2\varphi, \mu \geq \varphi + 1$$

If  $\mu < 0$  it will follow  $\varphi \geq 0$ ,  $\mu \geq 1$  and so we must have  $\mu = 1$ ,  $\rho = \varphi + 1$ ,  $\delta^2 + \varepsilon^2 = -2\varphi$

Taking  $\bar{Q} = \begin{pmatrix} Q & 0_{2 \times 2} \\ 0_{2 \times 2} & I_2 \end{pmatrix}$  with  $Q = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\xi) & \sin(\xi) \\ -\sin(\xi) & \cos(\xi) \end{pmatrix} \in SO(2)$  where

$\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\delta \cos(\xi) + \varepsilon \sin(\xi) = 0$  we have that  $\bar{Q} \bar{M} \bar{Q}^T$  has the form:

$$S(\alpha) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \alpha & -\alpha \\ 0 & -\alpha & 1 - \alpha^2/2 & \alpha^2/2 \\ 0 & -\alpha & -\alpha^2/2 & 1 + \alpha^2/2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } \alpha \in \mathbb{R}.$$

After some calculus we find out that  $S(\alpha + \alpha') = S(\alpha)S(\alpha')$  for any  $\alpha, \alpha' \in \mathbb{R}$  and so

$$\frac{dS}{d\alpha} = S \frac{dS}{d\alpha}(0) = -S(J_1 + K_2), S(\alpha) = \exp(-\alpha(J_1 + K_2))$$

Thus the statement is completely proved :

For any  $M \in SO^+(3, 1)$  exist  $\Lambda \in SO^+(3, 1)$ ,  $\theta, \chi, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$M = \Lambda^{-1} \exp(\theta J_3 + \chi K_3) \Lambda \text{ or } M = \Lambda^{-1} \exp(\alpha(J_1 + K_2)) \Lambda$$

In conclusion, for any  $M \in SO^+(3, 1)$  exist  $\Lambda \in SO^+(3, 1)$   $\omega = (\omega_{\alpha\beta})_{\alpha, \beta} \in M_{4 \times 4}(\mathbb{R})$

with  $\omega = -\omega^T$ ,  $M = \Lambda^{-1} \exp(\omega_{\alpha\beta} J^{\alpha\beta}) \Lambda$

Above we have already proven that

$\Lambda^{-1} J^{\alpha\beta} \Lambda = \Lambda_\mu^\alpha \Lambda_\nu^\beta J^{\mu\nu}$  and so, taking  $\bar{\omega} = \Lambda^T \omega \Lambda$  we obtain:

$$\bar{\omega}^T = -\bar{\omega}, M = \exp(\bar{\omega}_{\mu\nu} J^{\mu\nu})$$

For any  $M \in SO^+(3, 1)$  exists  $\omega \in M_{4 \times 4}(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $\omega = -\omega^T$  and  $M = \exp(\omega_{\alpha\beta} J^{\alpha\beta})$

Let  $\omega = (\omega_{\alpha\beta})_{\alpha, \beta} \in M_{4 \times 4}(\mathbb{R})$  with  $\omega = -\omega^T$  and we suppose  $\det \omega \neq 0$ . (11)

For  $\Lambda \in SO^+(3, 1)$  we have  $\Lambda^{-1} \eta \omega \Lambda = \eta \Lambda^T \omega \Lambda$ ,  $\Lambda^T \omega \Lambda = \eta \Lambda^{-1} \eta \omega \Lambda$ . (12)

If  $x, y \in M_{4 \times 1}(\mathbb{C})$  and  $x, y \neq 0$ ,  $\mu, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  such that:

$\eta \omega x = \lambda x$ ,  $\eta \omega y = \mu y$  then, because of (11) we have:

$\lambda, \mu \neq 0$  and  $\bar{y}^T \omega x = \lambda \bar{y}^T \eta x$ ,  $-\bar{y}^T \omega x = \bar{\mu} \bar{y}^T \eta x$ . (the overline means that we are taking the complex conjugate) Therefore, if  $\bar{y}^T \eta x \neq 0$  we must have  $\lambda = -\bar{\mu}$ .

Since we have also  $\eta \omega \bar{x} = \bar{\lambda} \bar{x}$  it follows that if  $\bar{x}^T \eta x \neq 0$  then  $\lambda = -\bar{\lambda}$  (13)

and if  $x^T \eta x \neq 0$  then  $\lambda = -\lambda$ .

Because we assumed  $\det \omega \neq 0$  we must have  $x^T \eta x = 0$

for any  $x \neq 0$  with  $x \in M_{4 \times 1}(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\eta \omega x = \lambda x$

Let  $x \in M_{4 \times 1}(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $x \neq 0$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\eta \omega x = \lambda x$  and consider the case  $\bar{x}^T \eta x = 0$

Since  $x^T \eta x = 0$ , for  $u = \Re x$ ,  $v = \Im x$  it follows  $u^T \eta u = v^T \eta v = 0$ ,  $u^T \eta v = 0$  and so:  $u = c v$  or  $v = c' u$ ,  $c, c' \in \mathbb{R}$  and we can consider  $x \in M_{4 \times 1}(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*$

We have  $\det(\omega - \lambda \eta) = \det(\omega^T - \lambda \eta) = \det(\omega + \lambda \eta)$  and therefore

we can take  $y \in M_{4 \times 1}(\mathbb{R})$  with  $y \neq 0$ ,  $\eta \omega y = -\lambda y$

Supposing  $y^T \eta y \neq 0$  it follows  $\lambda = -\lambda = 0$  which cannot be since we assumed  $\det(\eta \omega) \neq 0$ . Hence, in the considered case we have:

$x, y \in M_{4 \times 1}(\mathbb{R})$  linear independent each of other with

$\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*$ ,  $y^T \eta y = x^T \eta x = 0$ ,  $\eta \omega x = \lambda x$ ,  $\eta \omega y = -\lambda y$ .

Taking  $u = x + y$ ,  $v = x - y$  we obtain  $u^T \eta v = 0$ ,  $u^T \eta u = -v^T \eta v$ .

Since  $x$  and  $y$  are independent,  $u$  and  $v$  are independent too and so we cannot have

$u^T \eta u = -v^T \eta v = 0$ .

Hence we can take  $u, v \in M_{4 \times 1}(\mathbb{R})$  with  $u^T \eta v = 0$ ,  $u^T \eta u = 1$ ,  $v^T \eta v = -1$  and

$\eta \omega u = \lambda v$ ,  $\eta \omega v = \lambda u$ .

$\eta \omega$  invariants  $\text{Sp}(u, v)$ .

If  $\eta \omega$  invariants the subspace  $V \subset M_{4 \times 1}(\mathbb{R})$ , for any  $z \in V^\perp$  we have

$z^T \eta w = 0$  for any  $w \in V$  and so  $(\eta \omega z)^T \eta w = -z^T \omega w = -z^T \eta w' = 0$  for some  $w' \in V$

Since  $\det(\eta \omega) \neq 0$  we obtain that  $\eta \omega$  invariants also  $V^\perp$ .

So  $\eta \omega$  invariants  $\text{Sp}(u, v)^\perp$ .

In the case  $\bar{x}^T \eta x \neq 0$  we have  $\lambda = i\mu$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^*$  and we take  $u = \Re x$ ,  $v = \Im x$ .

We obtain:  $\eta \omega u = -\mu v$ ,  $\eta \omega v = \mu u$ ,  $u^T \eta u = v^T \eta v \neq 0$ ,  $u^T \eta v = 0$

where we can take  $u^T \eta u = v^T \eta v = -1$ ,  $u, v$  being independent since  $\mu \neq 0$ .

Therefore we have two Minkowski-orthogonal subspaces, in both considered cases,

$\text{Sp}(u_1, v_1)$  and  $\text{Sp}(u_2, v_2)$  invariants by  $\eta \omega$  with  $u_i^T \eta v_i = 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  one and only

one of them having a vector, say  $v_1$  with  $v_1^T \eta v_1 = 1$  the other  $u_i, v_i$  having the

Minkowski norm equal to -1.

So we have:

$$\eta \omega u_1 = \lambda v_1, \eta \omega v_1 = \lambda u_1, \eta \omega u_2 = -\mu v_2, \eta \omega v_2 = \mu u_2, \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}^*$$

$$u_i^T \eta v_j = 0 \text{ for } i, j = 1, 2; u_i^T \eta u_j = 0, v_i^T \eta v_j = 0 \text{ for } i \neq j, i, j = 1, 2$$

$u_2^T \eta u_2 = v_2^T \eta v_2 = u_1^T \eta u_1 = -1$ ,  $v_1^T \eta v_1 = 1$  and we can choose  $u_i, v_i$  such that  $v_{10} > 0$ .

Then we can take  $\Lambda \in \text{SO}^+(3, 1)$  with:

$$\Lambda E_1 = \varepsilon u_2, \Lambda E_2 = \varepsilon v_2, \Lambda E_3 = \varepsilon u_1, \Lambda E_0 = v_1, \varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}.$$

For  $\varphi = \Lambda^{-1} \eta \omega \Lambda$  we will have:

$$\varphi E_1 = -\mu E_2, \varphi E_2 = \mu E_1, \varphi E_3 = \varepsilon \lambda E_0, \varphi E_0 = \varepsilon \lambda E_3 \text{ and in the basis } (E_1, E_2, E_3, E_0),$$

we have the matrix form:

$$\Lambda^T \omega \Lambda = \eta \varphi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\mu & 0 & 0 \\ \mu & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\varepsilon \lambda \\ 0 & 0 & \varepsilon \lambda & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(\omega_{\alpha\beta} J^{\alpha\beta}) &= \Lambda \exp(\omega_{\alpha\beta} \Lambda^{-1} J^{\alpha\beta} \Lambda) \Lambda^{-1} = \Lambda \exp(\omega_{\alpha\beta} \Lambda^\alpha_\gamma \Lambda^\beta_\delta J^{\gamma\delta}) \Lambda^{-1} = \\ &= \Lambda \exp(2\mu J_3 - 2\varepsilon \lambda K_3) \Lambda^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $J_3$  commutes with  $K_3$  we will have  $\exp(\omega_{\alpha\beta} J^{\alpha\beta}) \in SO^+(3,1)$ ,

if as we assumed  $\omega = -\omega^T \in M_{4 \times 4}(\mathbb{R})$  with  $\det \omega \neq 0$ .

If we have  $\bar{\omega} = -\bar{\omega}^T \in M_{4 \times 4}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\det \bar{\omega} = 0$  we observe that the set

$$A = \{\omega \in M_{4 \times 4}(\mathbb{R}) \mid \omega = -\omega^T, \det \omega \neq 0\} \text{ is dense in } \{\omega \in M_{4 \times 4}(\mathbb{R}) \mid \omega = -\omega^T\} = \bar{A}.$$

The function  $M_{4 \times 4}(\mathbb{R}) \ni \omega \rightarrow \exp(\omega_{\alpha\beta} J^{\alpha\beta}) \in M_{4 \times 4}(\mathbb{R})$  being continuous,

since  $SO^+(3,1)$  is closed in  $M_{4 \times 4}(\mathbb{R})$  it follows  $\exp(\bar{\omega}_{\alpha\beta} J^{\alpha\beta}) \in SO^+(3,1)$  for any  $\bar{\omega} \in \bar{A}$

The above proven results lead to the following three facts:

i) We have 6 independent matrices  $\{H_k\}_{k=\overline{1,6}}$  where

$$H_k = -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} J^{ij} = J_k, \quad H_{k+3} = -J^{0k} \text{ for } k = \overline{1,3}$$

ii) We have a surjective  $C^\infty$  class function

$$\Phi: \mathbb{R}^6 \rightarrow SO^+(3,1), \quad \Phi((\psi_s)_s) = \exp(\psi_s H_s) \text{ such that } \text{rank} \left( \frac{\partial \Phi_{pq}}{\partial \psi_k} \right)_{pq,k} = 6$$

with  $p, q = \overline{0,3}$ ,  $k = \overline{1,6}$

iii)  $\Phi$  is local injective (Since  $\det \left( \frac{\partial e_{pq}^W}{\partial t_{ij}} \right)_{pq,ij} \neq 0$ ,  $p, q, i, j = \overline{0,3}$ )

for any  $W \in M_{4 \times 4}(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $W = (t_{ij})_{i,j}$

As we proved for the rotation group  $SO(n)$  we conclude that the manifold structure

on  $SO^+(3,1)$  (with the topology induced from  $M_{4 \times 4}(\mathbb{R})$ ) is equivalent to a structure given by the mappings  $((\psi_s)_s) \rightarrow \exp(\psi_s H_s)$ .

Having the continuous surjective function  $\Phi$  we find  $SO^+(3,1)$  as a 6-dimensional connected Lie group (as well as by the mapping  $(\vec{\theta}, \vec{\chi}) \rightarrow \exp(\vec{\theta} \vec{J}) \exp(\vec{\chi} \vec{K})$ ).

For  $U \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$  we denote  $U^+$  the conjugate transpose of  $U$ .

Let  $SU(n) = \{U \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C}) \mid U^+ U = I, \det U = 1\}$

Consider  $M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$  as its natural complex Hilbert space.

Then if  $x \in M_{(n+1) \times (n+1)}(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $U \in SU(n+1)$  with  $Ux = \lambda x$  we will have that

$U$  invariates  $\text{Sp}(x)$  and also  $\text{Sp}(x)^\perp$  and  $\lambda \bar{\lambda} = 1$ .

Therefore we can obviously prove by induction (in a simpler way as we did for  $SO(n)$ ) that for any  $U \in SU(n)$  exists  $H \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$  with  $H^+ = H$ ,  $U = \exp(iH)$ . (14)

Since  $\text{tr}(H) = \text{tr}(JHJ^{-1})$ ,  $\det U = 1$  for any  $J \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$  with  $\det J \neq 0$ , taking  $H$  in the normal Jordan form, from (14) we deduce for  $H$  that  $\text{tr} H = 0$ .

From the way we proved it, it is obvious that the relation (3') works even for complex  $W$ .

Therefore are no difficulties in proving that we have a surjective and local injective mapping

$$\Psi: \mathbb{R}^r \rightarrow SU(n), \quad \Psi(\varphi) = \exp(i\varphi_a T_a) \text{ where } \varphi = (\varphi_a)_{a=\overline{1,r}}, \quad r = n^2 - 1,$$

$(T_a)_a$  is a basis of the real vector space  $S = \{H \in M_{4 \times 4}(\mathbb{C}) | H^\dagger = H, \text{tr} H = 0\}$ .

For  $n=2$  we can take  $(T_a)_a = (\sigma_j)_{j=1,2,3}$  the Pauli matrices (see Chap. Representations of the rotations group and the restricted Lorentz group. Spin representations).

Let  $M = \exp(\omega_{\mu\nu} J^{\mu\nu})$  with  $\omega = -\omega^T \in M_{4 \times 4}(\mathbb{R})$

Then, as we proved above, if  $\det \omega \neq 0$  we can find  $\Lambda \in SO^+(3, 1)$  such that

$$\Lambda^T \omega \Lambda = \omega', \quad \omega'_{\alpha\beta} = 0 \text{ for } (\alpha, \beta) \notin \{(1, 2), (2, 1), (0, 3), (3, 0)\} \text{ and} \quad (15)$$

$$\Lambda^{-1} M \Lambda = \exp(-2 \omega'_{12} J_3 - 2 \omega'_{03} K_3)$$

We have the representation

$S : SO^+(3, 1) \rightarrow M_{4 \times 4}(\mathbb{C})$  such that for any  $M \in SO^+(3, 1)$ ,  $S = S(M)$  satisfies

$S^{-1} \gamma^\mu S = M_{\mu\nu} \gamma^\nu$  for  $\mu = \overline{0, 3}$  (16) (see Chap. Representations of the rotations group and the restricted Lorentz group. Spin representations).

$$S(\exp(\theta J_3)) = \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \mathbf{1} + \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \gamma^1 \gamma^2 = \exp\left(\frac{\theta}{4} [\gamma^1, \gamma^2]\right)$$

$$S(\exp(\chi K_3)) = \cosh\left(\frac{\chi}{2}\right) \mathbf{1} + \sinh\left(\frac{\chi}{2}\right) \gamma^0 \gamma^3 = \exp\left(\frac{\chi}{4} [\gamma^0, \gamma^3]\right) \quad (17)$$

where  $[A, B] = AB - BA$  denotes the commutator of  $A$  and  $B$

We denote  $\sigma^{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2} [\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu]$ .

Since  $[J_3, K_3] = 0$  and  $[\sigma^{12}, \sigma^{03}] = 0$ , from (15) and (17), after some calculus we obtain:

$$S(M) = \exp\left(\frac{i}{2} 2 \omega'_{12} S(\Lambda) \sigma^{12} S(\Lambda)^{-1} + \frac{i}{2} 2 \omega'_{03} S(\Lambda) \sigma^{03} S(\Lambda)^{-1}\right). \quad (18)$$

From (16) we can deduce  $S(\Lambda)^{-1} \sigma^{\mu\nu} S(\Lambda) = \Lambda^\mu_\alpha \Lambda^\nu_\beta \sigma^{\alpha\beta}$  and so (15) and (18) will lead to:

$$S(M) = \exp\left(\frac{i}{2} \omega_{\alpha\beta} \sigma^{\alpha\beta}\right) \text{ if as we assumed } \det \omega \neq 0$$

If  $\det \omega = 0$  we have  $\omega = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega_n$  with  $\omega_n = -\omega_n^T \in M_{4 \times 4}(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\det \omega_n \neq 0$  and

since the representation  $S$  is continuous, for  $M_n = \exp(\omega_{n\alpha\beta} J^{\alpha\beta})$  we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(M_n) = S(M) \text{ deducing } S(M) = \exp\left(\frac{i}{2} \omega_{\alpha\beta} \sigma^{\alpha\beta}\right) \text{ for any } \omega = -\omega^T \in M_{4 \times 4}(\mathbb{R}).$$

The Dirac spinorial function  $\psi = (\psi_\alpha)_\alpha(x)$  (as a column 4x1 matrix),

$x = (x^\alpha)_\alpha$  space-time coordinates, which satisfies the Dirac equation

$$i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi - m \psi = 0$$

transforms under a Lorentz coordinates transformation

$x'^\mu = M_{\mu\nu} x^\nu$  according to  $\psi' = S(M) \psi$  and considering

$M = \exp(\omega_{\alpha\beta} J^{\alpha\beta})$ ,  $\bar{\psi} = \psi^\dagger \gamma^0$  with  $\psi^\dagger$  the complex conjugate transpose of  $\psi$

we have for the transformation of the conserved current,  $J^\mu = \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi$ , the expression:

$$J'^\mu = \psi^\dagger S^\dagger(M) \gamma^0 \gamma^\mu S(M) \psi = \psi^\dagger \exp\left(-\frac{i}{2} \omega_{\alpha\beta} \sigma^{+\alpha\beta}\right) \gamma^0 \gamma^\mu \exp\left(\frac{i}{2} \omega_{\alpha\beta} \sigma^{\alpha\beta}\right) \psi$$

We have  $\sigma^{+\alpha\beta} = \gamma^0 \sigma^{\alpha\beta} \gamma^0$  and so we obtain:

$$J'^\mu = \psi^\dagger \gamma^0 \exp\left(-\frac{i}{2} \omega_{\alpha\beta} \sigma^{\alpha\beta}\right) \gamma^\mu \exp\left(\frac{i}{2} \omega_{\alpha\beta} \sigma^{\alpha\beta}\right) \psi = \psi^\dagger \gamma^0 S(M)^{-1} \gamma^\mu S(M) \psi = M_{\mu\nu} \bar{\psi} \gamma^\nu \psi.$$

Therefore, the conserved current transforms like a contravariant Lorentz vector.